

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. per 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,335	02/07/2002	David Yu Chang	AUS920010981US1	6371
. 7.	590 09/08/2005		EXAM	INER
BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P.			DINH, MINH	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW P.O. BOX 969			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0969			2132	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ĥ						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/068,335	CHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of the control of t	Minh Dinh	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 April 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/3/2002.	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dai	(PTO-413)				

Application/Control Number: 10/068,335 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-9 have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 7-9 are not limited to tangible embodiments. In view of applicant's disclosure, specification page 14 line 24 – page 15, line 2, the medium is not limited to tangible embodiments, instead being defined as including both tangible embodiments (e.g., CD-ROM drive, floppy disk) and intangible embodiments (e.g., communications links). As such, the claim is not limited to statutory subject matter and is therefore non-statutory.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apte et al (6,269,373) in view of Orfali et al ("Instant CORBA").

Application/Control Number: 10/068,335

Art Unit: 2132

Regarding claims 1, 4 and 7, Apte discloses a process for accessing a non-Enterprise JavaBean (EJB) Common Object Broker Request Architecture (CORBA) object method on a server, said process comprising: receiving at a CORBA server a request for a skeleton method, the skeleton class being implemented in C++ or other non-Java languages and therefore the skeleton method being functionally equivalent to a non-EJB CORBA object method; directing said request to a shadow EJB object method generated by the JNI (Java Native Interface), said shadow EJB object method being a complementary method to the CORBA object method (col. 11, line 21 – col. 12, line 6). Apte does not disclose and obtaining authorization from a security service to access the CORBA object method based on a role of a requesting user. Orfali discloses a CORBA security service authorizing access to a CORBA object method based on a role of a requesting user (Authorization: Are you allow to use this resource. pages 158-160). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the Orfali role-based security service into Apte method so that only authorized users would be allowed to access services at the server.

Regarding claims 2, 5 and 8, Apte further discloses that the server has access to the CORBA object method and a non-shadow EJB method (col. 11, lines 10-28).

Regarding claims 3, 6 and 9, Orfali further discloses receiving an assigned user role for a requesting user of a client computer connected to the server; storing said user role in the server; generating a method-role mapping table to define an authorized role to access said CORBA object method; and comparing said assigned user role with said

Art Unit: 2132

authorized role to determine if said requesting user is authorized to access said CORBA object method (Authorization: Are you allow to use this resource, pages 158-160).

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - U.S. Patent No. 5,732,270 to Foody et al.
  - U.S. Patent No. 5,764,887 to Kells et al.
  - U.S. Patent No. 6,182,154 to Campagnoni et al.
  - U.S. Patent No. 6,609,158 to Nevarez et al.
  - U.S. Patent No. 6,915,520 to Sanchez, II

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 10:00am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Dinh Examiner Art Unit 2132

MD 9/2/05

GILBERTO BARRON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100